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# Conceptual description

## Scope

Secondary ion beams emerging from heavy ion collisions with a changed magnetic rigidity represent a source of local heat deposition in dispersion suppressor (DS) magnets downstream of IR2 (see [1] and references therein). These ion beams may pose a certain risk of inducing magnet quenches. For the ALICE upgrade that requests an instantaneous luminosity 6 times higher than the nominal one, losses are expected to be about a factor 2 above the quench limit. Similar risk during ion operation occurs in IR1 and IR5 [2]. A strategy to eliminate any risk of quench is the installation of DS collimators to catch ions beams before they reach the magnets. These collimators are referred to as TCLDs (Target Collimator Long Dispersion suppressor). One collimator per side of the experiment would be sufficient to effectively intercept the secondary beams from the most dominant processes in a location where these ions are well separated from the main beam. Energy deposition simulations were extensively carried out, based on the assumption that MB.A10 is substituted with a pair of 11 T magnets and a TCLD collimator, to confirm this assumption [1, 3].

## Benefit or objective for the HL-LHC machine performance

DS collimation in IR2 is considered necessary for the ALICE detector upgrade taking place in LS2, aiming at a peak luminosity of 6 x 1027cm-2s-1, corresponding to a loss in the MB.B10 dipole of about 50 mW/cm3. The proposed TCLD collimator installation, with a jaw based on 80 cm of a tungsten heavy alloy, is expected to reduce by more than a factor 100 the losses in the new 11 T dipoles compared to the losses in cold dipoles with the present layout with old dipoles and no TCLD collimators [3].

## Equipment performance objectives

The new collimators are to be installed in warm insertions between two cold 11 T dipoles. They are designed to withstand the losses from ion luminosity debris while ensuring their basic mechinical and beam cleaning functionalities (e.g., mechanical stability and flatness constraint, to be specified in detail). Total losses in the collimator jaws up to about 100 W are expected [3].

In addition, the design is based on the state-of-the-art collimator design used in the rest of the machine. It features all the latest design improvements, including in-jaw BPMs for fast alignment and orbit monitoring.

TECHNICAL ANNEXES

# preliminary technical parameters

## Assumptions

The proposed DS collimation solution to the issue of ion luminosity debris depends on the assumed peak luminosity and on the quench limits of supercondicting magnet (DS dipoles in this case). For the IR2 case discussed in this note, a peak luminosity of 6 x 1027cm-2s-1 (ALICE upgrade) and a quench limit of about 30 mW/cm3 are assumed (see [4] for the conclusion on the quench limits).

The collimator design is based on the same concepts adopted for other DS in other LHC insertion regions. The IR7 case is the most challenging one for the hardware integration because it requires the longest jaw length for an efficient proton halo cleaning [5, 6].

Different jaw lengths and materials have been comparatively addressed for the specific case of IR2 by using as a figure of merit the reduction factor of losse in the DS dipoles [3]. Simulations show that 50 cm of Copper would suffice. However, in order to minimize design effort and production works both for the collimator and for the design of the cryo by-pass, the same length of 80 cm adopted for the TCLD in IR7 is also used as a baseline for the IR2 TCLD collimators. For the jaw material, W is used as baseline for IR7. Should the Cu design be easier/less costly, it could be considered for the IR2 implementation.

## Equipment Technical parameters

Table 1: Equipment parameters

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Characteristics | Units | Value |
| Jaw active length | mm | 800 |
| Jaw material | -- | W or Cu |
| Flange-to-flange distance | mm | TBD |
| Number of jaws | -- | 2 |
| Orientation | -- | Horizontal |
| Dipole replaced by 11 T dipole/TCLD | -- | MB.B10 |
| Number of BPMs per jaw | -- | 2 |
| RF damping | -- | Fingers or ferrite |
| Cooling of the jaw | -- | Yes |
| Cooling of the vacuum tank | -- | No |
| Minimum gap | mm | < 2 |
| Maximum gap | mm | > 45 |
| Stroke across zero | mm | > 4 |
| Number of motors per jaw | -- | 1 |
| Angular adjustment | -- | No |
| Transverse jaw movement (5th axis) | -- | No |

## Operational parameters and conditions

The TCLD collimators around IR2 are expected to be used during heavy ion operation only. They will be moved to settings of 10-20 local beam sizes before – or while – bringing the beams in collision.

The TCLD collimators should contribute in a negligible way to the machine impedance (note that they will only be needed with beam in collision, so beams will be stabilized by beam-beam effects).

## Technical and Installation services required

The TCLD collimators feature a simplified design compared to the one of the standard LHC collimators. They require a reduced set of controls cables (less motors), cooling water and baking equipment.

Table 2: Technical services

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domain | Requirement |
| Electricity & Power | YES |
| Cooling & Ventilation | Active cooling for the jaws (demineralized water) |
| Cryogenics | -- |
| Control and alarms | YES |
| Vacuum | YES The vacuum team encourages the usage of Conflat gaskets for all future designs. |
| Instrumentation | YES |

Table 3: Installation services

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domain | Requirement |
| Civil Engineering | NO |
| Handling | YES – special transport. Independent of 11 T dipole / QTC assembly. |
| Alignment | YES |

## P & I Diagrams

Not applicable

## Reliability, availability, maintainability

Same standards as the other collimators.

## Radiation resistance

All collimator components are optimized for operation in high radiation environments. For the case of IP2 TCLD’s radiation constraints are significantly relaxed due to the limited beam loss loads (usage during ion operation only).

The selection of construction materials will take activation properties into account. The design is optimized to allow for fast repair, maintenance and replacement, depending on expected residual dose rate levels. The design also considers dismantling, radioactive waste conditioning and disposal properties at the end of the lifetime of the component.

## List of units to be installed and spares policy

* It is planned to adopt the same design in all points requiring TCLD collimators. An appropriate space policy will be established when the total number of installed units will be known.
* For IR2 only (2 TCLD units) one spare seems sufficient considering the production times.

# preliminary CONFIGURATION and installation constraints

## Longitudinal range

The TCLD / 11 T dipole units in point two will replace the MB.B10 dipoles at either side of the IR.

## Volume

Smaller than a standard collimators. Latest design to date was presented in [7].

Detailed integration with the cryo by-pass is followed up in collaboration with WP11.

## Installation/Dismantling

Present 15 m long dipoles in the cells concerned must be removed.

# preliminary INTErface parameters

## Interfaces with equipment

Vacuum and dipole cryostats through a dedicated cryo by-pass (QTC).

## Electrical interfaces

New circuits are to be described in the document for the 11 T dipoles.

# Cost & Schedule

## Cost evaluation

Cost to be charged on the collimation code 61064.

## Approximated Schedule

It is assumed that the construction of 2 TCLD collimators and 1 spare for installation in LS2 is required, following the ALICE upgrade program.

A TCLD prototype should also be built as soon as possible after having frozen the design (including integration of the cryogenics components) in order to gain experience with the new design.

Note that this preliminary schedule assumes that at most 2 TCLD/11 T dipole units will be feasible by LS2, limited by the timeline of 11 T dipole production. More TCLD collimator units might be needed for other alternative scenarios (e.g., need to consider alternative solutions based on moving magnets in IR7) or in case more 11 T dipole units were available.

Also note that the priority for the deployment of TCLD/11 T dipole units in LS2 is subject to the performance evaluation in 2015. In case the post-LS1 operation showed cleaning issues with high intensity proton beams, a (partial) installation in IR7, if proved effective, might be favoured compared to the IR2 installation for ion operation only.

Table 4: Tentative schedule

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Phase | 2013 | | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| Length definition from cleaning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Functional specification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering specification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prototyping and tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acquisition Process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production and validation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installation – Commissioning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beam operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schedule and cost dependencies

The possibility to install the TCLD’s depends on the availability of new 11 T dipoles. In case of issues to deliver the required dipole units in LS2, alternative solutions must be studies. This will have an impact on the TCLD design. Therefore, alternatives should be defined in due time.
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