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# Conceptual description

## Scope

Collision products emerging from the interaction points might be lost in the matching sections and the dispersion suppressors (DSs) around the experiments. In particular, protons that changed their magnetic rigidity represent a source of local heat deposition in the first DS cells where the dispersion function starts rising. A similar mechanism occurs for ion operations (see [1] and references). These physics debris losses may pose a certain risk of inducing magnet quenches. A strategy to eliminate any risk of quench is the installation of DS collimators (TCLDs, Target Collimator Long Dispersion suppressor). The solution proposed for IR2 for ion operation, to be implemented in LS2, is described in [2]. This note addresses the case of IR1 and IR5 in view of the HL-LHC upgrade that will take place in LS3. The peaks observed around these IRs during proton operation at high intensity can be reduced with 2 TCLD collimators per IR side. The need for such implementation depends on the dipole quench limits and on the effectiveness of the physics debris collimation with TCL collimators [3, 4]. For ion operation, 1 TCLD collimator per IR side is expected to be sufficient [5].

## Benefit or objective for the HL-LHC machine performance

DS collimation is considered necessary for the operation with lead ion beams, for luminosities above few 1027cm-2s-1. For the ALICE luminosity upgrade scenario aiming at a peak luminosity of 6 x 1027cm-2s-1, the estimated peak power density in magnet coils is about 50 mW/cm3 [1, 6]. Similar figures are expected in IR1 and IR5 [5], however detailed simulations are yet to be done. Also for these IRs, the proposed installation of one single TCLD collimator per beam is expected to reduce by more than a factor 50 the peak power density in the new 11 T dipoles compared to the peak power density at the cold dipoles with the present layout (with old dipoles and no TCLD collimators). This estimate assumes 80 cm jaws made of tungsten.

One or two TCLD units per beam would also greatly reduce the losses in cold magnets for the high luminosity proton operations in IR1/5. According to present estimates, the proposed physics debris collimation with 3 TCL collimators per beam [3] and TCLM masks [4] should be sufficient to operate the machine safely below quench limits for peak luminosities up to 7.5 x 1034cm-2s-1 at 7 TeV. This preliminary conclusion must be confirmed by detailed simulations with the final layout and by an update estimate of quench limits of SC dipoles at energies close to 7 TeV, before finalizing the TCLD strategy for IR1/5. Also note that the efficiency of the TCL collimators during ion operation needs to be assessed.

## Equipment performance objectives

The new collimators are to be installed in warm insertions between two cold 11 T dipoles. They are designed to withstand the losses from proton and ion luminosity debris while ensuring their basic mechinical and beam cleaning functionalities (e.g., mechanical stability and flatness constraint, to be specified in detail). Total losses in the collimator jaws up to about 100 W are expected [3].

In addition, the design is based on the state-of-the-art collimator design used in the rest of the machine. It features all the latest design improvements, including in-jaw BPMs for fast alignment and orbit monitoring.

TECHNICAL ANNEXES

# preliminary technical parameters

## Assumptions

The need of TCLD collimation in IR1 and IR5 depends on the peak luminosity values in these insertion. We assumed peak luminosities of 6 x 1027cm-2s-1 for ions and of 7.5 x 1034cm-2s-1 for protons. It is noted that the proposed solution also have the advantage of mitigating total doses on the superconducting magnets. Detailed estimates are being worked out assuming a total HL-LHC integrated luminosity of 3000 fb-1 with proton beams.

The collimator design is based on the same concepts adopted for other DS in other LHC insertion regions. The IR7 case is the most challenging one for the hardware integration because it requires the longest jaw length for an efficient proton halo cleaning [7, 8].

Different jaw lengths and materials have been comparatively addressed for the specific case of IR2 by using as a figure of merit the reduction factor of losse in the DS dipoles [3]. Simulations show that 50 cm of Copper would suffice. However, in order to minimize design effort and production works both for the collimator and for the design of the cryo by-pass, the same length of 80 cm adopted for the TCLD in IR7 is also used as a baseline for the IR1/5 TCLD collimators. For the jaw material, W is used as baseline for IR7. Should the Cu design be easier/less costly, it could be considered for the IR1/5 implementation.

Simulations for IR1 and IR5 have not been performed yet. However, it is expected that the conclusions drawn from IR2 simulations for the ion case hold true. It is therefore assumed that per beam and per IR: (1) 1 TCLD made of 80 cm of Tungsten is adequate for ion operations; (2) 2 TCLD units are sufficient for proton operation. At this stage of the conceptual specification for the HL project, we consider a pessimistic scenario based on 2 TCLD collimators per beam per IR, knowing that this baseline will be reviewed in 2015.

## Equipment Technical parameters

Table 1: Equipment parameters

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Characteristics | Units | Value |
| Jaw active length | mm | 800 |
| Jaw material | -- | W or Cu |
| Flange-to-flange distance | mm | TBD |
| Number of jaws | -- | 2 |
| Orientation | -- | Horizontal |
| Dipole replaced by 11 T dipole/TCLD | -- | MB.B10 |
| Number of BPMs per jaw | -- | 2 |
| RF damping | -- | Fingers or ferrite |
| Cooling of the jaw | -- | Yes |
| Cooling of the vacuum tank | -- | No |
| Minimum gap | mm | < 2 |
| Maximum gap | mm | > 45 |
| Stroke across zero | mm | > 4 |
| Number of motors per jaw | -- | 1 |
| Angular adjustment | -- | No |

## Operational parameters and conditions

The TCLD collimators in IR1 and IR5 shall be used during proton and ion operation. They will be moved to settings of 10-20 local beam sizes before – or while – bringing the beams into collision. They can remain at parking levels in the other operational phases.

These new collimators should be designed to have a negligible contribution to the total machine impedance.

## Technical and Installation services required

The TCLD collimators feature a simplified design compared to the one of the standard LHC collimators. They require a reduced set of controls cables (less motors), cooling water and baking equipment.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domain | Requirement |
| Electricity & Power | YES |
| Cooling & Ventilation | Active cooling for the jaws (demineralized water) |
| Cryogenics | -- |
| Control and alarms | YES |
| Vacuum | YES. The vacuum team encourages the usage of Conflat gaskets for all future designs. |
| Instrumentation | YES |

Table 2: Technical services

Table 3: Installation services

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domain | Requirement |
| Civil Engineering | NO |
| Handling | YES – special transport. Independent of 11 T dipole / QTC assembly. |
| Alignment | YES |

## P & I Diagrams

--

## Reliability, availability, maintainability

Same standards as the other collimators.

## Radiation resistance

All collimator components are optimized for operation in high radiation environments. For the case of IR1/5 TCLD’s, radiation constraints are significantly relaxed compared to the IR7 collimators but detailed dose calculations have to be done for the nominal HL target integrated luminosity.

The selection of construction materials will take activation properties into account. The design is optimized to allow for fast repair, maintenance and replacement, depending on expected residual dose rate levels. The design also considers dismantling, radioactive waste conditioning and disposal properties at the end of the lifetime of the component.

## List of units to be installed and spares policy

* It is planned to adopt the same design in all points requiring TCLD collimators. An appropriate space policy will be established when the total number of installed units will be known. Figures of 10-25 % seem appropriate at this stage.
* For IR1/5, the maximum number of TCLD units is 16 if this solutions is need for proton operation and 8 if it is only required for protons.

# preliminary CONFIGURATION and installation constraints

## Longitudinal range

The TCLD / 11 T dipole units location for IR1/5 needs to be studies in details. Preliminary layouts can be found in [5].

## Volume

Smaller than a standard collimators. Latest design to date was presented in [9].

Detailed integration with the cryo by-pass is followed up in collaboration with WP11.

## Installation/Dismantling

Present 15 m long dipoles in the cells concerned must be removed.

# preliminary INTErface parameters

## Interfaces with equipment

Vacuum and dipole cryostats through a dedicated cryo by-pass (QTC).

## Electrical interfaces

New circuits are to be described in the document for the 11 T dipoles.

# Cost & Schedule

## Cost evaluation

Cost to be charged on the collimation code 61064.

## Approximated Schedule

All the units for IR1 and IR5 need to be produced in time for an installation during LS3. The detailed planning depends obviously on the schedule of the 11 T dipole production. Note that the development for IR2, targeting an installation in LS2, will determine the design phase and first production line. This is presented in detail in [2], (including prototyping phase). The production for the LS3 implementation will build on the experience acquired for the LS2 implementation. We assume that an iteration on the design will be done following the first production line.

The possibility to install the TCLD’s depend on the availability of new 11 T dipoles. In case of issues to deliver the required dipole units in LS2, alternative solutions must be studies. This will have an impact on the TCLD design. Therefore, alternatives should be defined in due time.
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