Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

University of Manchester, Cockcroft Institute, University of Huddersfield

27th July, 2012

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 1/28

What is Merlin?

- C++ Accelerator physics library
- Provides a set of useful functions for accelerator modelling
- Initially used to simulate ground motion in the ILC BDS and linac
- Later the ILC damping rings
- Written by Nick Walker et al (DESY)
- Now adapted for large scale proton collimation simulations by Manchester and Huddersfield
- Three main sections of the library
- Accelerator lattice loading/creation and storage
- Tracker
- Physics processes
- Modular design

Accelerator lattice creation

- Can load directly from MAD (tfs table output)
- Can also use XTFF format
- Direct element addition
- The created AcceleratorModel element can be further manipulated in the future, e.g. adjust aperture, alignment errors, etc
- AcceleratorComponent: The base class for each element in the lattice that all elements inherit from.
- EMField: The field associated with the element
- AcceleratorGeometry: Any Geometry transforms for the element, e.g. tilt
- Aperture: The aperture for the element, e.g. the beam pipe or collimator jaws
- WakePotentials: Any wakes for the element resistive wall, geometric and cavity wakes

- Different types of tracker, particle tracking and moment tracking
- Takes the input of a bunch and beamline, and tracks the bunch along the beamline
- Can specific integrator sets, e.g. transport, thin lens, symplectic
- Can override specific integrators, e.g. crab cavities
- Step both along the accelerator lattice and within individual elements

- Additional physics on top of tracking to be applied at selected elements and positions
- Can be enabled or disabled as required processes are attached to trackers
- Examples: Synchrotron radiation, collimation, wakefields, etc
- Easy to create, template examples exist
- Trackers manage stepping within processes inputs are the AcceleratorComponent and bunch

- Can offset element positions, x,y,z
- Can adjust angular tilts
- Can add in field errors including additional multipoles
- Can generate errors inside merlin
- We generate errors in MAD, correct for errors, then transfer this information to merlin
- Tested loss maps with an errored + corrected lattice, with collimators aligned to the perfect orbit
- Little difference from the perfect configuration in loss maps

Parallel running

- Wish to run large simulations very cpu heavy use MPI
- Must use multiple physical machines with interconnects
- Run multiple copies of the same binary that can communicate with each other
- Tracking, collimation, etc, are all independent on a per-particle basis, do not need any knowledge about other particles
- Collective effects such as space charge, wakefield, etc do require this information
- Functions exist such as parallel bunch moment calculations (mean, standard deviation) in addition to the ability to move particles between computers
- Parallel running is implemented at a per process algorithm level

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト



▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

э

Example run



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 9/28

Collimation simulation configuration

- Want to have a comparison with Sixtrack
- Thick-lens version V6.5.2012.02.seq
- Using Beam 1
- β^* for IP1 and IP5: 0.6m
- β^* for IP2 and IP8: 3m
- 6.4 M particles simulated
- No field or alignment errors
- Energy= 4 TeV, $\epsilon_n = 3.5$ mm-mrad, dp/p = 0, $\sigma_z = 0$
- Crossing angle[µrad]: X1=-145, X2=-90, X5=145, X8=-220
- Parallel separation on at all IP: sep = ± 0.65 mm
- Horizontal halo cut at 4.3σ , similar results with different halo distributions
- Impact parameter = $1\mu m$ and 10 cm longitudinal loss resolution

Collimation simulation configuration

- Beam injected at TCP.C6L7.B1
- Collimators aligned to orbit and beam envelope

Collimator	Aperture (σ)
TCP IR7	4.3
TCP IR3	12
TCSG IR7	6.3
TCSG IR3	15.6
TCLA IR7	8.3
TCLA IR3	17.6
TCLP	10
TCT IR1/IR5	9
TCT IR2/8	12
TCDQ IR6	7.6
TCLI	open
TDI	open

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 11/28



Beta functions - IR5



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 13/28

Beta functions - IR7



Reference orbit



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 15/28

Reference orbit - zoom x



Reference orbit - zoom y

2e-08 Merli MADX V 1.5e-08 1e-08 5e-09 y [m] 0 -5e-09 -1e-08 -1.5e-08 -2e-08 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 0 Distance from IP1[m]

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

CoIUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 17/28

Loss map results comparison (Sixtrack plots from R. Bruce talk)



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

CoIUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 18/28

Loss map Results IR7



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

CoIUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 19/28

- Have been working on enhanced scattering physics inside a collimator jaw
- Nuclear interactions pA scattering
- Nucleon scattering: elastic and single diffractive
- Higher level electron interactions multiple coulomb scattering, atomic ionization
- Do not care about any secondary particles, similar to sixtrack
- Aiming to be precise and fast

Nuclear interactions

- "Our results for the final-state particle distributions are remarkably insensitive to the mass of the target nucleus. Mean pseudorapidity, mean rapidity, and multiplicity, plotted as a function of $(1 - x_f)$, show the same behaviour for Be, Al, and W. This strongly suggests that the dominant process is the diffractive excitation of single nucleons, an observation which is supported by the $A^{1/3}$ dependence of the diffraction cross-section."
- Diffraction dissociation of nuclei in 450 GeV/c proton-nucleus collisions (Z Phys C, V49, 1991)
- "These results indicate that target diffraction occurs on only one single nucleon of the nucleus. However, the abundance of positive particles emitted in the backward direction indicates that there must be re-scattering of the emitted particles on other nucleons of the nucleus."
- Nuclear-target diffraction dissociation in π⁺ and K⁺ collisions with Au and Al at 250 GeV/c (Z Phys C. V72⁻ 1996) (₹) ₹ 230°
 J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 21/28

- Older theory, but describes soft interactions
- Introduce the idea of complex angular momentum
- Result: cross sections $\sigma \sim s^{(L-1)}$
- Reggeons: mesons (a, f, ρ, ω) results in falling cross sections
- Pomerons: thought to be glueballs results in rising cross sections
- $\bullet\,$ Our range of interest is of beam energy between 450 and 7000 GeV/c
- This is $\sqrt{s} = 30 \longrightarrow 115 GeV$ for fixed target interactions

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

- Use the model of Donnachie and Landshoff: arXiv:1112.2485v1 [hep-ph]
- Interested in the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$
- Fit all appropriate existing pp and $p\bar{p}$ data
- Data exists on either side of the region of interest so interpolation is possible
- Add low t coulomb peak to the fit

Elastic scattering experimental data



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 24/28

Single diffractive Scattering

- Incident proton interacts with a target nucleon
- Exits with reduced energy (M_x) , and an angular kick
- Again, use the Donnachie-Landshoff model: arXiv:hep-ph/0305246v1
- Two regions of M_x : baryon resonances at low mass, tripple regge at higher mass



Baryon resonance region



- Cross Sections for "Diffractive" $p + p \longrightarrow p + X$ from 100 to 400 GeV (PRL, V34, 1975)
- Diffraction Dissociation of High-Energy Protons in p-d Interactions (PRL, V12, 1975)

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 26/28

Tripple Regge exchange region

- Fitting over 6000 data points, over 8 experiments!
- More than 70% of the cross section exists at large M_x



Preliminary Results

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

 < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ▷ < □ ○ </td>

 ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 27/28

- We are developing the code Merlin to operate with proton machines for collimations simulations
- Can now generate loss maps for the LHC!
- When running in sixtrack like scattering mode, similar loss maps are generated for 4TeV 2012 running
- Scattering fits almost complete, next is to work on p-A interactions p-Pb run data from the LHC will help
- New material classes almost complete, will soon be able to simulate new collimator designs

J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 29/28

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Aperture configuration



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 30/28

Collimation Process



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca

ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 31/28

Collimation Process



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 32/28

イロト 不得 とうせい かほとう ほ



J. Molson, R. Appleby, R. Barlow, A. Toader, M. Serluca ColUSM #10 Status of loss maps simulations with Merlin 33/28