




EDMS NO. 0000000	REV. 0.0	VALIDITY DRAFT
----------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------

REFERENCE LHC-EQCOD-EC-XXXX

 To be processed by the relevant Project Support Officer
(see document ref. EDMS 1271880 for the detailed procedure) 018-03-15

ENGINEERING CHANGE REQUEST

Installation of Low-Impedance Primary Collimators **with BPMs** (TCPPM) in IR7

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED CHANGE(S):

The primary collimators (TCPs) are essential for the operation at high intensity at the LHC as they are used to intercept primary off-momentum and betatron losses in the multi-stage cleaning systems in IR3 and IR7. As a part of the consolidation of the collimation system, horizontal and primary collimators of IR7 (four collimators) will be replaced in LS2. The new collimators will ensure high-efficiency operations in Run III and in the HL-LHC era. The new design with in-jaw beam position monitors will be used. As a part of the HL-LHC-WP5, the absorbing material will also be upgraded to a low-impedance material (MoGr). This ECR details the hardware installation, proposed to take place during the Long Shutdown 2 (LS2).

DOCUMENT PREPARED BY: Roderik Bruce BE-ABP Alessio Mereghetti BE-ABP Stefano Redaelli BE-ABP	DOCUMENT TO BE CHECKED BY: [FisrtName LastName Dept-Grp]	DOCUMENT TO BE APPROVED BY: [FirstName LastName Dept-Grp]
---	--	---

DOCUMENT SENT FOR INFORMATION TO:
[List of persons to whom the document is sent]

SUMMARY OF THE ACTIONS TO BE UNDERTAKEN:
[List the main actions to be undertaken]

Note: When approved, an Engineering Change Request becomes an Engineering Change Order.
This document is uncontrolled when printed. Check the EDMS to verify that this is the correct version before use.

1. EXISTING SITUATION AND INTRODUCTION

The betatron collimation system [1] of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is located in the Insertion Region 7 (IR7). It is composed by:

- three primary collimators (TCPs), intercepting tail protons;
- eleven secondary collimators (TCSGs), aimed at intercepting most of the beam particles leaking out of the TCPs;
- shower absorbers (TCLAs), aimed at containing the most energetic component of the secondary particle showers started in the upstream collimator families.

Primary and secondary collimators are made of a robust material as they are potentially more exposed to primary beam losses. Carbon fibre composites (CFC) are used, which have the draw back of contributing significantly to the LHC impedance [2].

TCP and TCSG collimators are significantly activated during the LHC high-intensity operations and radiation aging/wear is a main concern for these collimators, also because after some years of LHC operation, working on these collimators might become challenging in terms of doses to personnel. Note in particular that horizontal and vertical primary collimators of IR7 (one collimator per beam per plane) are essential for any operation of the LHC at high intensity.

While the TCSGs will all be replaced in two campaign in LS2 and LS3 as a part the HL-LHC collimation upgrade [3] (see also [4] for the LS2 phase I upgrade), the renewal of primary collimators for the high-efficiency operation in Run III and in the HL-LHC era is covered by the Consolidation project. The TCP upgrade starts in LS2 by replacing the horizontal and vertical primary collimators of IR7. The need to replace the IR7 skew collimators and the IR3 TCPs might follow in LS3 or later depending on the aging of the present TCPs.

The new collimators will be built according to the latest design that embeds in-jaw BPMs and a modular jaw design compatible with different absorbing materials. It is also noted that because of the resistivity of the jaw material and typical gaps deployed in operation, TCPs give an important contribution to machine impedance [2]. The TCP absorbing material will also upgraded to MoGr (molybdenum-graphite), with similar robustness against beam losses by 5x lower electrical resistivity than CFC. This reduces the single-collimator impedance by about a factor 2. This upgraded is funded by HL-LHC-WP5.

New primary collimators are called TCPPM, as Target Collimator Primary Pickup Metallic.

2. REASON FOR THE CHANGE

The construction of new primary collimator as consolidation of the IR7 system is considered necessary to ensure high-efficiency operations in Run III and in the HL-LHC era. The "consolidated" collimator design similar to the one adopted for new collimators built in LS1 [5] will be used. This will add BPMs to the design for a faster alignment and orbit interlock capability. Note that a TCP prototype with BPMs has already been used in operation since 2016 [6].



As a part of the HL-LHC-WP5, the TCP absorbing material will also be upgraded. MoGr will be used to reduce by about a factor 5 the material resistivity, for a gain of about a factor 2 in single-collimator impedance.

3. DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present TCPPM design [7] (see Figure 1) is similar to the one of the present TCPs [1]; among the most noticeable differences, there are the jaw material and the presence of Beam Position Monitors (BPMs). In fact, the design foresees jaws made of a composite material (MoGr) obtained from Molybdenum and graphite, chosen for its lower impedance and similar robustness with respect to the jaw material of the present TCPs (Carbon-Fiber-Composite, CFC). The new material is characterised by an impedance lower by about a factor 2 with respect to the one of CFC. The collimator jaws are equipped with BPM button pick-ups, for an easy determination of the beam closed orbit at the collimator and fast and precise alignment of the jaws. A third BPM is installed on the tank, to measure the beam position on the axis orthogonal to the one of cleaning.

Table 1 summarises the main collimator parameters of the TCPMP design.




Figure 1 — 3D view [5] of the TCPMP collimator. Top: full collimator, with RF fingers. Bottom: sketch with left jaw only to show the 60 cm active length (obtained through blocks of different thickness).

Table 1 — Detailed parameter list of the TCSPM collimator design.

Characteristics	Units	Value
Jaw active length	mm	600
Jaw absorbing material		MoGr
Flange-to-flange distance	mm	1480
Number of jaws		2
Orientation		Depending on installation slot (see Table 2)
Number of BPM buttons per jaw		2
Number of tank BPM buttons		2
RF damping		RF fingers
Cooling of the jaw		Demineralised water
Minimum gap	mm	<2
Maximum gap	mm	50
Stroke across zero	mm	5
Number of motors per jaw		2
Angular adjustments		Yes
Transverse jaw movement (fifth axis)		Yes

The installation of the new collimators is done by replacing the existing ones. Table 2 lists the concerned slots for both B1 and B2.

Table 2 — Installation slots of the new TCPPM in IR7, replacing the existing vertical and horizontal primary collimators in IR7.

B1 Slot	B2 Slot	Skew Angle [deg]
TCP.D6L7.B1	TCP.D6R7.B2	90.0
TCP.C6L7.B1	TCP.C6R7.B2	0.0

4. IMPACT ON OTHER ITEMS

4.1 IMPACT ON ITEMS/SYSTEMS

Item/System BE/BI	BE/BI support is required for the mounting/dismounting of the BLM associated to the collimator. BE/BI is responsible for the BPM acquisition. Cables should be pulled for the new BPMs. Controls units DOROS should be installed for the signal processing.
Item/System BE/OP	Necessary changes to the control system of the LHC must be performed to control the new collimator and read the new BPM signals.
Item/System xxxxx	

4.2 IMPACT ON UTILITIES AND SERVICES

Raw water:	No
Demineralized water:	Same circuits as the present TCPs to be replaced.
Compressed air:	No
Electricity, cable pulling (power, signal, optical fibres...):	Same as the present TCPs. Collimator controls will re-use the cabling of the present TCPs. On the contrary, BPM cables should be pulled for the TCP.D6L7.B1 and for the two TCPs on B2. <u>Note that new collimators have more temperature sensors that onitor the cooling water. Controls should be updated accordingly.</u>
DEC/DIC:	No
Racks (name and location):	<u>Details by Jérôme.</u>
Vacuum (bake outs, sectorisation...):	The TCPPM, once finished, will have to go through the standard vacuum qualification tests before installation in the tunnel, as done for the present TCPs.
Special transport/handling:	No
Temporary storage of conventional/radioactive components:	– Storage of the removed TCPs under the responsibility of EN/STI. They should be kept as operational spares <u>if radiation levels permit this.</u>
Alignment and positioning:	The component must be updated in the CERN layout Database before SU activities in the LHC tunnel. SU needs to know the positions of both targets by doing a "fiducialisation" with a laser tracker few days before the transportation of the component into the LHC. Standard alignment procedures apply – at installation, the collimator position should be adjusted by the survey team.
Scaffolding:	No
Controls:	Same as the present TCPs.
GSM/WIFI networks:	No



Cryogenics:	No
Contractor(s):	No
Surface building(s):	No
Others:	No

5. IMPACT ON COST, SCHEDULE AND PERFORMANCE

5.1 IMPACT ON COST

Detailed breakdown of the change cost:	Everything except material covered by the Consolidation project. Material (about 300 kCHF) covered by HL-LHC WP5.
Budget code:	61717

5.2 IMPACT ON SCHEDULE

Proposed installation schedule:	LS2, as late as possible, to minimise dose to personnel while removing the present TCP collimators.
Proposed test schedule (if applicable):	Prior to installation: controls tests (EN/STI) and vacuum validation (TE/VSC). Impact on the EN/EL team to be evaluated.
Estimated duration:	2-3 weeks
Urgency:	No
Flexibility of scheduling:	Yes. According to production schedule, TCPMP should be available for installation as of Q4 2019.

5.3 IMPACT ON PERFORMANCE

Mechanical aperture:	As for all other collimators, the TCPMPs have movable jaws in the collimation plane (horizontal and vertical) and no deterioration is expected. Thus, this installation has no impact on the available aperture.
Impedance:	Improved.
Optics/MADX	No change
Electron cloud (NEG coating, solenoid...)	No change
Insulation (enamelled flange, grounding...)	No change
Vacuum performance:	No change. The collimator will be qualified by VSC before installation. Therefore, this installation will not cause any vacuum degradation.
Others:	

6. IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL SAFETY

6.1 ÉLÉMENT(S) IMPORTANT(S) DE SECURITÉ

No Impact on EISs.

6.2 OTHER OPERATIONAL SAFETY ASPECTS

Have new hazards been created or changed?	No
Could the change affect existing risk control measures?	No
What risk controls have to be put in place?	-
Safety documentation to update after the modification	-
Define the need for training or information after the change	-

7. WORKSITE SAFETY

7.1 ORGANISATION

Requirement	Yes	No	Comments
IMPACT – VIC:	X		
Operational radiation protection (surveys, DIMR...):	X		RP survey needed
Radioactive storage of material:	X		Existing TCPs will be stored as operational spares. Storage space must be made available
Radioactive waste:	X		Bolts, seals, consumables from the removed TCPs.
Non-radioactive waste:	X		[Specify waste category as per EDMS 1738461 ; waste quantity; collection/elimination means (i.e. SMB Dept. centralised services or contractor)]
Fire risk/permit (IS41) (welding, grinding...):		X	
Alarms deactivation/activation (IS37):		X	
Others:		X	

7.2 REGULATORY TESTS

Requirement	Yes	No	Responsible Group	Comments



Pressure/leak tests:		X		
Electrical tests:		X		
Others:				

7.3 PARTICULAR RISKS

Requirement	Yes	No	Comments
Hazardous substances (chemicals, gas, asbestos...):		X	
Work at height:		X	
Confined space working:		X	
Noise:		X	
Cryogenic risks:		X	
Industrial X-ray (<i>tirs radio</i>):		X	
Ionizing radiation risks (radioactive components):	X		The collimators are installed in slots presently occupied by other collimators. The elements to be removed will be radioactive.
Others:			

8. FOLLOW-UP OF ACTIONS

BY THE TECHNICAL COORDINATION

Action	Done	Date	Comments
Carry out site activities:			
Carry out tests:			
Update layout drawings:			
Update equipment drawings:			
Update layout database:			
Update naming database:			
Update optics (MADX)			
Update procedures for maintenance and operations			



Update Safety File according to EDMS document [1177755](#):

Others:

9. REFERENCES

[1] O. Brüning *et al.* (eds), "LHC design report", Vol. I, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-2004-003-V-1, 2004.

[2] N. Mounet, "Transverse impedance in the HL-LHC era", presentation at the 3rd HL-LHC Annual Collaboration meeting, Daresbury, UK (2013), <https://indico.cern.ch/event/257368/>

[3] G. Apollinari *et al.*, "High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC) Technical Design Report V.01", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, EDMS n. 1833445 v.09.05, <https://edms.cern.ch/document/1833445>

[4] TCSPM ECR, under preparation. [add later EDMS refs]

[4] S. Antipov *et al.*, "Machine Impedance and HOM Power Update", presentation at the 7th HL-LHC Annual Collaboration Meeting, Madrid, Spain (2017), <https://indico.cern.ch/event/647714/>

[5] S. Redaelli *et al.*, "Replacement of TCT in IR1, IR2, IR5 and of TCSG Collimators in IR6 with Collimators with Embedded BPM Buttons", LHC-TC-EC-0003 v.1.0, EDMS doc. 1251162.

[6] S. Redaelli *et al.*, "Installation of a primary collimator with orbit pickups (TCPP) replacing a TCP", LHC-TC-EC-0005 v.1.0, EDMS doc. 1705737.

[7] ref to TCPPM design.

Stefano Redaelli 4/4/2018 17:11

Formatted: Font:Not Bold

Stefano Redaelli 4/4/2018 17:11

Formatted: English (US)

Rodeirk B 4/4/2018 15:15

Comment [1]: Do we have a good reference?