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# Conceptual description

## Scope

Carbon-based secondary collimators (TCSG, Target Collimator Secondary Graphite) are used in the LHC as secondary stage of the beam halo cut in the momentum (IR3) and betatron (IR7) cleaning insertion. Four secondary collimators per beam are used in IR3 whereas 11 collimators are used in IR7 for a total of 30 TCSG collimators in the LHC. Horizontal, vertical and skew orientations are used in different locations. Since these collimators are among the closest ones to the circulating beams, their jaws are built with a robust Carbon-Fibre Composite (CFC) that is designed to withstand the design LHC failure scenarios at injection (full injection train of 288 bunches impacting on one jaw) and at 7 TeV (up to 8 bunches impacting on one jaw in case of an asynchronous dump). The need to improve the TCSG collimator design in view of the updated beam parameters for the HL-LHC design is being assessed.

## Benefit or objective for the HL-LHC machine performance

The present baseline for HL-LHC is that new secondary collimators, TCSPM, based on advanced robust and low-impedance materials will be added in IR3 and IR7, using existing TCSM slots [2]. In this scenario, the need to maintain operational the present CFC secondary collimators remains to be assessed. This depends for example on whether the new TCSPM collimators will be able to withstand the injection failure scenario.

In this context, the upgrade of the LHC secondary collimators might therefore be needed for HL-LHC if the present TCSG design:

* proved not to be adequate to cope with the design LHC failure scenarios updated for the upgraded HL-LHC beam parameters (larger bunch intensity and smaller emittances);
* proved not to be adequate for the standard operational losses with a larger stored beam energy in HL-LHC: for the same assumed minimum beam lifetime in operation, the total loss rates expected on the collimators might be up to a factor 2 larger for HL-LHC than for LHC;
* can be improved in a way that HL-LHC could profit from; e.g. improved materials or improved alignment features (integrated BPMs) for a more efficient operation.

Present work is on-going to understand if the present design is adequate for the HL-LHC parameters.

## Equipment performance objectives

The secondary collimators are a fundamental element of the LHC multi-stage collimation hierarchy and are required in all operational conditions with beam in the machine. These are therefore high-reliability devices that must be compatible with operation in very high radiation environments and withstand standard operational losses and relevant failure cases without permanent damage that can jeopardize their functionality. In particular, the present design is robust against [1]:

* injection failure scenario: 1 injected train of up to 288 bunches at 450 GeV impacting on one jaw;
* asynchronous beam dump at top energy: up to 8-10 bunches at 7 Tev impacting on one jaw;
* continuous loss rates during standard operation: 0.2 h beam lifetime at 7 TeV during up to 10 s (equivalent to peak losses of 500 kW during 10 s for the LHC nominal case) and 1 h beam lifetime for an indefinite amount of time.

TECHNICAL ANNEXES

# preliminary technical parameters

## Assumptions

Assuming for the moment the same failure scenarios as for the LHC design [1] to be updated with the HL-LHC parameters. Relevant parameters are

* bunch intensity;
* bunch emittance (injected value and top-energy value);
* maximum number of bunches per injection train;
* minimum allowed beam lifetime at top energy with maximum intensity in the machine.

## Equipment Technical parameters

The key design parameters are given in the following table.

Table 1: Equipment parameters

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Characteristics | Units | Value |
| Jaw active length | mm | 1000 |
| Jaw material | -- | CFC |
| Flange-to-flange distance | mm | 1480 (to be reviewed) |
| Number of jaws | -- | 2 |
| Orientation | -- | Horiz., vert., skew |
| Number of motors per jaw | -- | 2 |
| Number of BPMs per jaw | -- | 2 |
| RF damping | -- | Fingers |
| Cooling of the jaw | -- | Yes |
| Cooling of the vacuum tank | -- | Yes |
| Minimum gap | mm | < 1 |
| Maximum gap | mm | > 60 (to be reviewed) |
| Stroke across zero | mm | > 5 |
| Angular adjustment | -- | Yes |
| Jaw coating | -- | No |
| Transverse jaw movement (5th axis) | mm | +/- 10 mm |

## Operational parameters and conditions

Same as the present system.

## Technical and Installation services required

Same as the present system.

## P & I Diagrams

--

## Reliability, availability, maintainability

The LHC cannot operate above safe intensities without secondary collimators.

## Radiation resistance

Same as the present system.

## List of units to be installed and spares policy

Thirty (30) secondary collimators are installed in the LHC. Adequate spare policy for HL-LHC to be defined.

# preliminary CONFIGURATION and installation constraints

## Longitudinal range

Same as the present system, see [1].

## Volume

Same as the present system, see [1].

## Installation/Dismantling

Present secondary collimators will have to be dismounted to allow the installation of upgraded TCSGs.

# preliminary INTErface parameters

## Interfaces with equipment

--

## Electrical interfaces

No changes for the powering.

# Cost & Schedule

## Cost evaluation

The indicative figure of 500 kCHF per collimator unit is assumed.

## Approximated Schedule

The needs for upgrading the secondary collimators must be addressed in time for an upgrade in LS3.

## Schedule and cost dependencies

--

# Technical reference documents

[1] R. Assmann *et al.*, Collimation chapter of the LHC Design Report, edited by O. Brüning *et al.*, <http://ab-div.web.cern.ch/ab-div/Publications/LHC-DesignReport.html>

[2] HL Conceptual Functional Specification, TCSPM in IR3/7, https://edms.cern.ch/document/1393878
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