

SLHCV3.1b: HL-LHC optics overview

R. De Maria, S. Fartoukh

CERN, Geneva

Collimation WG, 2012/04/20

Introduction

SLHCV3.1b contains a **realistic, nearly complete, usable** optics model for an HL-LHC scenario using 150T/m triplets Based on SLHCV3.0 and ATS_V6.503.¹ It is **not final** since it still depends on some working assumptions:

- interconnect lengths between magnets,
- lengths and types of triplet correctors,
- installation and relocation of several matching quadrupoles,

and misses some parts

- optics transitions,

and some optimizations

- phase advance optimization for IR2/8/4/6,
- control of Q'',
- position of triplet BPMS,
- IP1-IP5 phase advance (or maybe working point).

¹SLHC Project Report 49, 50, 53, 55, ATS Note 2011 33, 60, 132 and reference therein.

Repository: names and specs

name	β_x^* [m]	$\beta_{ }^*$ [m]	$\theta_x/2$ [μrad]	$\Delta_{ }/2$ [mm]	x planes IP1/IP5
opt_150_11000_11000	11.0	11.0	170	2	v/h, adj.
opt_150_5500_5500	5.5	5.5	245	2	v/h, adj.
opt_150_2000_2000	2.0	2.0	80	2	v/h, adj.
opt_150_0400_0400	0.40	0.40	180	0.75	v/h, adj.
opt_150_0150_0150	0.15	0.15	295	0.75	v/h, adj.
opt_150_0100_0100	0.10	0.10	360	0.75	v/h, adj.
opt_150_0075_0300	0.30	0.75	275	0.75	v/h, fixed
opt_150_0050_0200	0.20	0.05	335	0.75	v/h, fixed
opt_150_0075_0300hv	0.30	0.75	275	0.75	h/v, fixed
opt_150_0050_0200hv	0.20	0.05	335	0.75	h/v, fixed

with their thin version.

Total of 4 IR5, 7 6, 6 IR2/8 new optics, the rest really minor changes with some very similar to SLHC3.0 or ATSV6503 or the nominal LHC.

A rich toolkit and few sample jobs is provided as well derived from SLHC3.0 as well. Mask file, error assignment routines, correction filters for tracking are under preparation.


Directory listing

/afs/cern.ch/eng/lhc/optics/SLHCV3.1b


aperture	opt_11000_11000.madx
beambeam	opt_5500_5500.madx
errors	opt_2000_2000.madx
toolkit	opt_0400_0400.madx
iroptics	opt_0150_0150.madx
tables	opt_0100_0100.madx
readme	opt_0075_0300.madx
slhc_sequence.madx	opt_0050_0200.madx
slhc_removeinstall.madx	opt_0075_0300hv.madx
crab_install.madx	opt_0050_0200hv.madx
job_sample.madx	opt_11000_11000thin.madx
job_makeoptics.madx	opt_5500_5500thin.madx
job_makethin.madx	opt_2000_2000thin.madx
	opt_0400_0400thin.madx
	opt_0150_0150thin.madx
	opt_0100_0100thin.madx
	opt_0075_0300thin.madx
	opt_0050_0200thin.madx
	opt_0075_0300hvthin.madx

LHC IR layout

IP TAS Q1-Q3 D1 TAN D2 Q4-Q6 Q7-Q13



Horizontal beam envelope



Layout changes

IR1 and IR5 triplet area ($l_{Q1} = l_{Q3}$, $l_{Q2a} = l_{Q2b}$):

IP 22.54 { .46 | Q1 | .46 } .36 .12 BPM .24
{ .36 | MCBXDa | .46 | Q2a | .46 } .24 BPM .12 .36
{ .46 | Q2b | .46 | MCBXD**b** | .36 } .24 BPM .12 .36
{ .46 | Q3 | .46 } .24 BPM .12 .36
.18 .18 | MCBXC | .18 .20 .115 | MQSX3 | .115
.20 .075 | MC0SSX3 | .075 .20 .075 | MCSTX3 | .075
.20 .075 | MCDTSX3 | .075 .25 }

D1: single sc. dipole; TAN and TCT: nominal length

D2: larger aperture 2-in-1, moved towards the IP by 15m

Crab cavities: 3 staggered modules per side per IP per beam

Q4: larger aperture 2-in-1

Q5: long MQY type moved towards the arc by 11m

Q10: added MS circuit and replaced MCBC


IR6: new long MQY type Q5

Layout parameters


```
l.MQXL      :=      7.6850000000 ;  
l.MQX       :=      6.5770000000 ;  
dq1q2a     :=      3.5600000000 ;  
dq2aq2b    :=      1.9150000000 ;  
dq2bq3     :=      3.5600000000 ;  
deltaposD2 := -15.0000000000 ;  
deltaposQ4 :=      0.0000000000 ;  
deltaposQ5 :=      11.0000000000 ;  
deltaposQ6 :=      0.0000000000 ;
```

Lengths in m.


IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1



IR5 B1



IR5 B1




Aperture model


Based on Phasel.

- MQX and MC_X: octagon scaled by the triplet aperture ($a_{mqx} = 140\text{mm}$)
- D1: round $r = a_{mqx}/2 + 7\text{mm}$
- TAS: round $r = 30\text{mm}$
- BPMs: round $r = a_{mqx}/2$
- TAN: ellipse $a, b = 41, 37\text{mm}$
- D2 and MCBYY: rectellipse $g, r = (37, 42)\text{mm}$ for 106mm coil aperture
- Q4: rectellipse $g, r = (30, 35)\text{mm}$ for 90mm coil aperture
- BPMs D2, Q4, Q5: round $r = 41, 37.5, 30\text{mm}$


IR5 aperture




IR5 aperture




IR5 aperture




IR5 aperture



IR5 aperture




Present crossing scheme



- ▶ In collision:
 - ▶ $\beta^* = 15\text{cm}$, crossing angle $580\ \mu\text{rad}$, separation 1.5mm
- ▶ The close orbit excursion at the cavity is:
 - ▶ 3.35 mm for 10σ crossing angle
 - ▶ 0.7 mm for 1.5 mm separation

This displacement needs to be compensated.


Present crossing scheme



- ▶ In collision:
 - ▶ $\beta^* = 15\text{cm}$, crossing angle $580 \mu\text{rad}$, separation 1.5mm
- ▶ The close orbit excursion at the cavity is:
 - ▶ 3.35 mm for 10σ crossing angle
 - ▶ 0.7 mm for 1.5 mm separation

This displacement needs to be compensated.

New crossing scheme



- ▶ No orbit displacement at the crab cavity location nor in D2 and Q4.
- ▶ The corrector in D2 can be placed in the non-IP side to save strength and gain some aperture for horizontal crossing
- ▶ Additional aperture margin in D2 and Q4 as side effect.

Crab layout

```
--B1> |D2|.4|MCH|.4|MCV| 1.8 <C> 2.6 <C> 2.6 <C>      5.3 |MC|Q4|
<B2-- |D2|.4|MCH|.4|MCV| 3.1       <C> 2.6 <C> 2.6 <C> 4.0 |MC|Q4|
```

1.D2=10

1.MCBH/V=1.5

Total voltage for the 3 modules for $590\mu\text{rad}$: 11.7MV and 10.7MV.

Single bore orbit corrector strengths

MCBX² type orbit correctors in the non-IP side for Q1, Q2, Q3. Function: orbit correction due to misalignments and crossing scheme

Strength orbit correction: $x_{tolg\text{triplet}} l_{\text{triplet}} = 0.6 \text{ Tm} \rightarrow 25 \mu\text{rad}$

Strength for crossing scheme (50% safety margin):

Element	Plane	crossing		separation	
		kick [μrad]	field [Tm]	kick [μrad]	field [Tm]
nominal-like round β^*					
MCBX.3	H&V	49*1.5 = 73	1.7	11*2	0.51
closed round β^*					
MCBX.1	H&V	17*1.5 = 25	0.6	5*2	0.23
MCBX.3 ³	H&V	140*1.5 = 210	4.9	10*2	0.46

²nominal: 3.3T, 1.5Tm

³may be partially absorbed by D1

2-in-1 orbit corrector strength

MCBY type (but wider aperture) either in the IP side of D2 to save vertical aperture or in the non-IP side to save strength and aperture for positive crossing angle.

Strength for crossing scheme (50% safety margin):

Element	Plane	crossing		separation	
		kick[μ rad]	field [Tm]	kick[μ rad]	field [Tm]
nominal-like round β^*					
MCBYY.4	H&V	126*1.5=189	4.4	22*2	1.0
MCBY.5	H or V	32*1.5 = 48	1.1	10*2	0.46
MCBC.6 ⁴	H or V	35*1.5 = 53	1.2	12*2	0.28
closed round β^*					
MCBYY.4 ⁵	H&V	244*1.5 = 366	8.5	8*2	0.37

⁴Nominal 2.5T, 2.27 Tm


⁵may be partially absorbed by D2

MCBX and MCBYY strengths


name	acbx1	acbx3	acbyy
opt_150_11000_11000	18.18	45.61	98.03
opt_150_2000_2000	5.62	21.27	47.80
opt_150_5500_5500	17.57	65.54	143.68
opt_150_0400_0400	6.62	49.73	109.97
opt_150_0150_0150	6.62	81.50	180.23
opt_150_0100_0100	6.62	99.46	219.95
opt_150_0075_0300	6.62	75.98	168.01
opt_150_0050_0200	6.62	92.55	204.67
opt_150_0075_0300hv	6.62	75.98	168.01
opt_150_0050_0200hv	6.62	92.55	204.67
max nominal values	64	64	97
reserve for orbit correction	25	25	n/a

Maximum values per family in μrad .


LHC B1




LHC B1




LHC B1




$$W \text{ functions: } W\delta = |\Delta\beta/\beta_0 + i(\Delta\alpha_0 - \alpha\Delta\beta_0/\beta_0)|$$




$$W \text{ functions: } W\delta = |\Delta\beta/\beta_0 + i(\Delta\alpha_0 - \alpha\Delta\beta_0/\beta_0)|$$



$$W \text{ functions: } W\delta = |\Delta\beta/\beta_0 + i(\Delta\alpha_0 - \alpha\Delta\beta_0/\beta_0)|$$




LHC crossing angle induced dispersion




Tour

- ▶ Effort to keep beta function unnecessarily large, magnet strengths not too close to lower limit, minimum changes with respect to nominal.
- ▶ For IR2 and IR8 phase advances probably not yet optimal.
- ▶ For IR4 Beta at the IP preserved as much as possible, to be checked for the damper and other instruments.
- ▶ IR3 and IR7 identical just rematched for the new arcs.


IR7 B1




IR7 B1




IR8 B1




IR8 B1




IR1 B1




IR1 B1




IR1 B1




IR1 B1




IR2 B1




IR2 B1




IR3 B1




IR3 B1




IR4 B1




IR4 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1



IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1


IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1




IR5 B1



IR6 B1



IR6 B1



IR6 dump system features

name	dpxb1 dpxb2		dxb1 dxb2		bxb1 bxb2		byb1 byb2		dmuxb1 dmuxb2	
	murad	murad	m	m	dump	dump	dump	dump	kick	kick
inj	0	-256	0.14	0.186	5012	5052	3955	3698	0.2631	0.2633
1111	0	-256	0.14	0.186	5012	5052	3955	3698	0.2631	0.2633
3333	0	0	0	0	5455	5867	4241	3699	0.25	0.25
4444	0	0	0	0	5743	6134	3955	3698	0.25	0.25
8228	0	0	0	0	5581	5820	6115	3698	0.25	0.25
5115	0	0	0	0	5012	5052	4063	3699	0.25	0.25
2882	0	0	0	0	7456	6818	3955	3698	0.25	0.25
1551	0	0	0	0	7244	6758	3974	3828	0.25	0.25

bxb1 bxb2 byb1 byb2: beta function at the dump

dxb1 dxb2 dpxb1 dpxb2: dispersion and angular dispersion at IP6

dmuxb1 dmuxb2: phase advance between TCSG.4[RL]6 and MKD.H5[LR]6

Without injection constraints optics can be optimized for the dump system.
It is possible to attempt to improve inj and 1111.